UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/566,431  | 08/10/2006  | William Ted Masselink | 3367-101            | 5759             |
| 6449 7590 07/08/2010<br>ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. |             | EXAMINER              |                     |                  |
| 1425 K STREET, N.W.<br>SUITE 800                              |             |                       | REAMES, MATTHEW L   |                  |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20005  |             |                       | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                       | 2893                |                  |
|   |             |                       |                     |                  |
|   |             |                       | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                       | 07/08/2010          | ELECTRONIC       |

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

|  |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)          |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |   | 10/566,431   | MASSELINK ET AL.      |  |  |  |
|  |   | Examiner   | Art Unit              |  |  |  |
|  |   | MATTHEW REAMES   | 2893                  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>or Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 1) 又   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ju</u>  | une 2010   |                       |  |  |  |
| ·  |   | action is non-final.   |                       |  |  |  |
| 3)□  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is                                   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| ٥/ك  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |                       |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayre</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 455 C.G. 215.   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims  |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 4)🛛  | Claim(s) <u>14-32</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |                       |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>28-30</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 5)🛛  | Claim(s) <u>27,31 and 32</u> is/are allowed.  |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 6)🖂  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 7)🖂  | Claim(s) 19,26 is/are objected to.  |  |                       |  |  |  |
| ·  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers   |  |                       |  |  |  |
|  |   | r  |                       |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Priority ι   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 2)  Notic<br>3) Infori   | e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | te                    |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/566,431 Page 2

Art Unit: 2893

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 2. Claims 14-18, and 20-25, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Asryan (20040129931).
  - a. As to claim 14, Asryan teaches a quantum well structure comprising a quantum well layers (items 106 and 118) between barrier layers (see e.g. items 122 116, 110 106 and 102) stacked in a stacking direction said quantum well layer comprising two subband energy levels (see e.g. figures 2 and 3) at least one of said barrier layer comprising nanostructures (see e.g. item 110).
  - b. As to claim 15, the well structure of Asryan contains multiple energy level of differeng energies and thus can be adjusted to absorb or emit photons with a given energy.
  - c. As to claim 16-18 Asryan teaches self organized quantum dots (paragraph51).
  - d. As to claim 20, Asryan teaches AlAs specifically AlInAs having InAs quantum dots (see e.g. table 1)

Application/Control Number: 10/566,431 Page 3

Art Unit: 2893

e. As claim 21, Asryan teaches the barrier also contains InP in the form og GaInAsP with In As (see e.g. table 1).

- f. As to claim 22, Asryan teaches at least two wells separated from each other by a respective barrier (items 106 and 118).
- g. As to claims 23 and 24, Asryan teaches 5 to 15 nm (see e.g. paragraph 59).
- h. As to claim 25, the structure of Asryan teaches a laser which is capable of being used as a photodetector and therefore meets the claims.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 27, 31, and 32 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 19 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
- 6. As to claim 27, prior art fails to teach or suggest wherein the barrier layers (plurality) comprise a nanostructure, in conjunction with the other elements of claim 27.
- 7. As to claims 31 and 32, the closest prior art Shields (2003/0127608) teaches that the device maybe hooked to a power supply configure (set to a power) such that the ground state of the nanostructure is higher than a well at which point no tunneling can occur (figure 23). Shields fails to teach or suggest a plurality of well layers.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,431 Page 4

Art Unit: 2893

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

- 9. As to claim 19, prior art fail to teach or suggest quantum wires.
- 10. As to claim 26, prior art fails to teach or suggest the structure comprising a cascades of quantum well layer stack in conjunction with the other elements of claim.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MATTHEW REAMES whose telephone number is (571)272-2408. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Davienne Monbleau can be reached on (571)272-1945. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MLR/

/Davienne Monbleau/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2893